

DMFA MLG Benchmarking Survey - Part A 2024 - Program Overview

Introduction to the DMFA Mid-Level Giving Benchmarking Survey

Thank you for participating in the MLG Benchmarking Survey.

There are four (4) parts to the survey. We recommend that you complete each individual part in one sitting. You will need to complete all four parts (A, B, C, D) for the benchmarking survey to be complete.

There are four due dates, one for each part. The complete survey, all four parts, are due no later than Friday, March 1 @ 5:00pm ET.

PART A - Program Overview: 30 minutes due no later than Friday, February 9, 2024

PART B - Strategy Overview: 30 minutes due no later than Friday, February 16, 2024

PART C - Creative Samples: 20 minutes due no later than Friday, February 23, 2024

PART D - Metrics and More: 25 minutes due no later than Friday, March 1, 2024

Each part of the survey is broken into sections with specific themes or topics. To help you prepare, please review the FAQ's on the main survey page. If you have any questions, please contact info@dmfa.org for assistance.



DMFA MLG Benchmarking Survey - Part A 2024 - Program Overview

PART A - Program Overview

Part A of the Benchmarking Survey is focused on specific attributes and definitions within your Mid-Level Program.

Estimated Completion Time: ~30 Minutes
Part A due no later than Friday, February 9, 2024

TIPS:

- Ensure you enter your organization name below, to help us align responses across all four parts of the survey.
- Review the .pdf and prepare your answers prior to accessing the survey link.
- Only one person per organization should enter the information for each part.
- * 1. Please share who is completing this part of the survey, in case of questions.

Nonprofit Organization Name Email Address Phone Number * 2. Primary Type of Organization: Please select one from the following list of nonprofindustry sectors: Animal Welfare Arts & Culture Environment Health Human Services International Relief	First Name and Last Name		_	_		
* 2. Primary Type of Organization: Please select one from the following list of nonproindustry sectors: Animal Welfare Arts & Culture Environment Health Human Services International Relief						
* 2. Primary Type of Organization: Please select one from the following list of nonproindustry sectors: Animal Welfare Arts & Culture Environment Health Human Services International Relief	Email Address					
industry sectors: Animal Welfare Arts & Culture Environment Health Human Services International Relief	Phone Number					
None of the above	industry sectors: Animal Welfard Arts & Culture Environment Health Human Service International F Religious	e es Relief	Please select on	e from the follo	wing list of nonpro	ofit

Section: MLG Program Staffing

	LG Program? Please calculate the Full Time Equivalent (FTE) of internal staff that the program and enter that here.
	e: MLG Manager (100%), Relationship Manager (100%) Direct Response Coordinato
%), I	nternal Data Analyst (25%) = 2.75
* 4. F	Has your internal Mid-Level staffing changed in the past 2 years? (select all that apply
	We've added dedicated staff
	We've engaged a mid-level partner to augment the mid-level team
	We've added mid-level responsibilities to non-dedicated staff
	We've reduced the number of dedicated staff
	We've reduced the mid-level responsibilities of non-dedicated staff
	No change
	Other (please specify)
L	
	Membership: Is your organization based on membership? Yes
	No
* 6. A	age of your MLG Program?
	New (0-3 years)
	Recent (4 - 6 years)
	Mature (7+ years)
* 7. D	Ooes your organization have a named/branded MLG Program?
	Yes
	No
If yes,	what is the name of your MLG Program?
If you	r organization does not have a named/branded MLG program, why not?
If you	r organization does not have a named/branded MLG program, why not?
If you	r organization does not have a named/branded MLG program, why not?

* 9.	Fiscal Year: What does your organization use to define your fiscal year?
	January - December
	April - March
	July - June
	October - September
	Other (please specify)
Ĺ	
* 10	. Current State of MLG Program Revenue, based on your most recent fiscal year? Select
one:	
	Growing (over 5% revenue growth YOY)
\bigcirc	Steady (flat to 5% revenue growth YOY)
	Stalled (declining revenue YOY)
	. Current State of MLG Program Donor File based on your most recent fiscal year? Select
one:	
0	Growing (over 5% growth in MLG donor file YOY)
\bigcirc	Steady (flat to 5% growth in MLG donor file YOY)
\bigcirc	Stalled (declining donor MLG donor file YOY)
Section	: Mid-Level Program Audience Definition
	you define your Mid-Level Giving Program audience? To be counted as a Mid-Level Donor, how much do nors give and in what time frame?
	. Giving Range: Please select all the donor ranges that apply to your program, from est to highest:
	\$100 - \$499.99
	\$500 - \$999.99
	\$1000 - \$2499.99
	\$2500 - \$4999.99
	\$5000 - \$7499.99
	\$7500 - \$9999.99
	\$10,000 - \$24,999.99
	\$25,000+
13. If y	our MLG Program giving range exceeds \$25,000, what is the top end of your mid-level range?
1	

6 months giving	
12 months giving	
18 months giving	
24 months giving	
25+ months giving	
None of the above	
* 15. For your time-period	calculations above, which of the following do you use?
Calendar Year	
Fiscal Year	
Rolling time frame (12 or 2	4 month)
Other (please specify)	
* 16. Please indicate if your	r MLG Giving Range uses single gifts or cumulative giving:
Single Gifts	
Cumulative Giving	
One of the above	
17. Please indicate if your locumulative giving total?	MLG Giving Range includes sustaining or regular giving in your
Yes	
○ No	
Section: Program Type and Goals	
Definitions for the following question	n:
utilizing existing direct market Typically, under the guidance of	ogram 100% managed through the Direct Marketing/Annual Giving Program ting initiatives and specialty packages/campaigns through multiple channels. of a MLG Program Manager within the Direct Response/Annual Giving Team.

- utilizing existing and specialty direct marketing campaigns in combination with a dedicated internal MLG Relationship Manager or an external vendor Concierge to conduct personal outreach and engagement. Program is under the guidance of a MLG Program Manager within either the Direct Response/Annual Giving Team or Philanthropy/Development team.
- Special Gifts/Major Gift Development Program: An extension of the Philanthropy/Development team, incorporating MLG as a 'special giving' audience within a Giving Officer portfolio or pipeline for Major Gifts. Any direct marketing campaigns and personal donor outreach managed within the Development/Philanthropy team.

* 18.	Please identify the definition that best describes the MLG Program at your organization. t one:
	Senior Direct Marketing Program
_ I	Hybrid Program (Direct Marketing + Relationship Manager or Concierge)
	Special Gifts/Major Gift Development Program
	Annual Program Goals: Do you have independent MLG program goals? Shared goals
	another department or team? Select one:
()	es, we share annual goals with the Direct Response/Annual Fund Program
O A	es, we share annual goals with the Major Gift/Development Team
	No, we have separate annual goals only for the MLG Program
\bigcirc (Other (please specify)
	you have shared goals with another team, please indicate the categories of shared goals
	et all that apply):
	Gross Revenue
	Net Revenue
	Donor Retention
	Donor File Size
F	Pipeline Move Goals: Mass to Mid-Level (example: # or % of donors moved up to ML)
F	Pipeline Move Goals: Mid-Level to Major (example: # or % of donors moved up to Major)
	Other shared goals? (please specify)
-	u have shared goals with another team, how do you share the "credit" when reporting rmance?

	usure the success of your MLG Program (select all that apply):
	Gross Revenue
	Net Revenue
	Annual Donor Value
	Retention
	Donor File Size
	Pipeline Goals: Mass to Mid-Level
	Pipeline Goals: Mid-Level to Major
	Other goals used to measure the success of your MLG Program (please specify)
23	3. How are budgeted revenue goals set for your mid-level program?
	Targets are set by senior management
	Targets are set by (or with substantial input from) dedicated mid-level staff
	Targets are set by (or with substantial input from) leadership in the direct marketing/annual giving progra
\bigcirc	Targets are set by (or with substantial input from) leadership in the major gifts/development program
\bigcirc	Targets are set by (or with substantial input from) an external vendor partner
\bigcirc	Targets are set by a blended team collaborating together
\bigcirc	Other (please specify)
ion	: External Partners
	. Do you utilize an Agency of Record dedicated to your ML Program?
24	
24) Yes
24 ○) No
24	
24	No
24	No
	No
	No If yes, please specify your partner:
	No If yes, please specify your partner:

26. If yes, please share who you partner with and for what services:
1. Vendor / Service
2. Vendor / Service
3. Vendor / Service
4. Vendor / Service
Section: MLG Program and Donor Journey
* 27. Does your organization conduct direct to file (new acquisition) of donors giving at the mid-level?
Yes
○ No
* 28. Describe how you plan your annual MLG Donor Journey across channels. Are your strategic touchpoints designed by the MLG audience team and delivered in coordination with the internal channel owners - direct mail, digital, telemarketing, text/SMS, etc?
Yes
○ No
29. If yes, please describe your collaboration process to design and execute your annual MLG donor journey:
30. If no, please describe how you manage the execution of the donor journey on behalf of your MLG program:
* 31. For touchpoints that are not owned by the MLG program (example: direct mail appeals, advocacy emails, organization e-newsletters, etc.) is the ML team offered the opportunity to review and approve the messaging for MLG donors before the touchpoint is deployed? — Yes
○ No
Other (please specify)

	Yes
\bigcirc	No
	Other (please specify)
	Which channels are you utilizing directly with your MLG program? (Even if the budget is
rom	outside your MLG program.)
	Email
	Digital (Web)
	Digital (Social)
	Digital (Paid Ad/Retargeting)
	DRTV
	CTV
	Phone (Solicitation/Fundraising)
	Phone (Engagement/Stewardship)
	Text/SMS
	Direct Mail
\Box	Virtual Events (Townhall style)
	In-Person Events
	Peer to Peer Events
	Other (please specify)
orgai iniza itati	ollowing questions, assume that the Mid-Level Donor has opted to receive all types of communication from nization. This is to provide a "fully loaded" example of a MLG Donor Journey, recognizing that each tion will account for communication and solicitation preferences. Each channel is broken out by on (ask) touchpoints and stewardship/engagement touchpoints. Exclude emergency/disaster response ications.
is is	otal EMAIL solicitations MLG donors typically receive from the organization in a year? a roll up of total dedicated MLG solicitations + general organization campaigns, s, etc.)
	otal EMAIL stewardship touchpoints MLG donors typically receive from the cation in a year? (This is a roll up of total dedicated MLG touchpoints + organization

* 36. Total TEXT/SMS solicitation messages MLG donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is the total of all solicitation messages from all organization sources.)
* 37. Total TEXT/SMS stewardship messages MLG donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is the total of all non-solicitation messages from all organization sources.)
* 38. Total DIRECT MAIL Solicitation packages MLG donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is a roll up of total dedicated MLG DM solicitation (ask) touchpoints + organization solicitation touchpoints including general appeals, symbolic gift catalogs, etc.)
* 39. Total DIRECT MAIL Stewardship packages MLG donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is a roll up of total dedicated MLG DM stewardship touchpoints + non-solicitation organization touchpoints including planned giving newsletters, annual tax statement, impact reports, etc.)
* 40. Total EXTERNAL TELEMARKETING solicitation touches MLG Donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is a roll up of external solicitation executed by a third-party vendor.)
* 41. Total EXTERNAL TELEMARKETING stewardship touches MLG Donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is a roll up of external stewardship and engagement executed by a third-party TM vendor or concierge.)
* 42. Total INTERNAL PHONE solicitation touches MLG Donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is a roll up of internal solicitation executed by MLG staff, Relationship Managers or Gift Officers.)
* 43. Total INTERNAL PHONE stewardship touches MLG Donors typically receive from the organization in a year? (This is a roll up of internal stewardship and engagement executed by MLG staff, Relationship Managers or Gift Officers.)

Section: Expand on Responses

	and additional i				
aggested Topics f	or Discussion at t	he Summit?			
e m		11			
	ant to discuss at ortunity to identi		r content vou v	ould like the	facilitators to
	al session or bre		_		
-					
nank you for com	pleting Part A of t	the MLG Benchm	narking Survey.		
	1				
lease click Done	o submit the surv	ey.			
mk: nttps://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nutps://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nttps://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
mk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
mk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nups://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
nk: nutps://amia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
ink: https://dmia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
mk: https://dmia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/
mk: https://dmia.	org/mlgbenchmar	king/mid-level-g	iving-benchmark	ing-meeting-su	rvey/